Some people think that the government is wasting money on the arts, and that this money could be better <u>spend</u> elsewhere.

To what extend do you agree with this view.

It is often argued that the government must be finance public services instead of spending its budget on arts. Although I agree that public services play a very important role in every country, I think that fitting the budget, and investing enough money on arts is are also crucial for the society.

On the one hand, there are a lot of primary and secondary needs for quality of life that the government should be allocated enough funds to them properly. Some of this these public services are primary and they are most more important than the others, like school and educational areas, hospitals, the environmental, roads and transportation, jobs, and the others are rolesplay a secondary role needs like arts, sport, music, theatre, movies, thus the government should evaluate and prioritize the large part of its budget base on the importance. Although government's investment in art is worth it is not too vital. for example, people can live without going to concerts or cinema, playing music or any part of art but if they have not medicine or insurance or literacy they encounter with serious problems in their life.

On the other hand, it is not true that we say investing on-in art is wasting money. since every country has a culture and its art is an integral part of society's culture. Hence, the government should consider that spending proper money on art is an amusement and worth.

To conclude, though I agree that government's investment should consider and be allocated toon public services, I think that art in every field could be significant because of people's entertainment and cultural importance. (260 words)- spending 2 hours.